

**Committee Reporting Form  
CCCCIO Representatives on Statewide Committees**

<b>Committee:</b> <b>Telecommunications and Technology Advisory Committee</b>	<b>CCCCIO Rep:</b> <b>Ann Beheler</b>
<b>Meeting Date:</b> <b>November 17, 2010</b>	<b>Meeting Location:</b> <b>Burbank</b>

**Committee Charge:**

**PURPOSE**

The Telecommunications and Technology Advisory Committee (TTAC) advises the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office on the continued development and deployment of telecommunications and educational technologies in the California Community Colleges. The Committee researches technology trends and recommends the direction for technology infrastructure initiatives within California Community College system.

**AUTHORITY**

1996-97 Budget language authorizes the Telecommunications Technical Advisory Committee. The Telecommunications and Technology Advisory Committee (TTAC) is a technology policy advisory committee established by the Chancellor of the California Community Colleges in 1998. Telecommunications and Technology Infrastructure Program (TTIP) was in its second year and the Chancellor felt a need for a committee to provide advice regarding the utilization of TTIP and future resources for technology. At that time, the Chancellor appointed members who had served on an intersegmental (CCC & CSU) advisory committee called the Implementation Advisory Committee (IMAC) and designated other representatives to form what is now the core for the TTAC membership.

<b>Area</b>	<b>Telecommunications and Technology Advisory Committee</b>
Focus and authority	Broad – on goals, policies and plans applying technology to attain improved outcomes for student and system/college operations. Provides recommendations to the CCCCCO.
Strategic Planning	Develops and recommends the vision, goals and objectives
Policy development	Recommends policies
Project Review	Reviews projects for applicability to the community college mission, vision, and goals.

**Meeting Agenda Topics:**

**Agenda**  
**Wednesday November 17, 2010**  
**9:30 a. m. – 3:00 p.m.**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Purpose</b>	<b>Discussion Leader</b>
<b>1</b>	9:30 – 9:50	Approve Minutes from 9/15/10	Action	Bill Scroggins/ Wheeler North
		<p style="text-align: center;">▶ <i>Introduction of any new committee members and guests</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">▶ <i>Burning Issues</i></p>		
<b>2</b>	9:50 – 10:10	Budget Update	Information	Patrick Perry/Catherine McKenzie
<b>3</b>	10:10 – 10:40	Tech IV Update	Discussion	Doug Cremer
<b>4</b>	10:40 – 11:10	LAO Report	Discussion	Patrick Perry/Catherine McKenzie
<b>5</b>	11:10 – 11:30	Award Program	Discussion	Bonnie Edwards
<b>6</b>	11:30 – 12:00	ePortfolio Summit (PESC)	Information	Vicki Suter
	12:30-1:00	Lunch		
<b>7</b>	1:00 – 1:40	CENIC Update	Information	Jim Dolgonas
<b>8</b>	1:40 – 2:10	CA Education Planner (CEP) CSU/CCC Online Degree Program	Discussion	Doug Cremer/Tim Calhoon /Vicki Suter
<b>9</b>	2:10 – 2:30	Foundation	Information	Catherine for Joseph Quintana
<b>10</b>	2:30 – 2:45	SAC	Information	Doug Cremer
<b>11</b>	2:45 – 3:00	Wrap and Closing	Action	Bill Scroggins

**Topics for CCCCIO consideration/discussion:**

1. Not specifically a technology issue, but a concern nonetheless is the implementation of 1440. Wheeler North, president of the Academic Senate, suggests strongly that colleges buy into the templates being created and begin using the CID numbers to help student who swirl between community colleges and then try to transfer. Stephanie Low is also working on trying to get the CSUs to loosen the interpretation of "local CSU," again emphasizing that community college students often switch between colleges.
2. Stephanie Low reported that there are new Title IV Federal regulations (600.9) that require institutions offering distance education in states where they do not have a physical location to meet the requirements of the state where they are delivering. She also said that public colleges that have been approved by name and are accredited are automatically approved within all states.
3. Patrick Perry stills says that the TTIP budget is \$15,290,000, though mid-year cuts may need to occur. If they do, colleges may be asked to pay for services currently provided. Committee members expressed that college funding our Internet connectivity at the colleges is not a reasonable choice if the colleges are also going through midyear budget cuts. Several of us suggested that some of the services provided for free to all such as CCCConfer and development of new systems such as CCCApply would be better targets. No action needed by CCCCIO at this time, but could be an issue in the future and could require rapid feedback from the colleges.
4. Repeated update from last meeting: CENIC received ARRA funding of about \$46 million for infrastructure work in the Central Valley. This project will build, operate and maintain a fiber-optic network infrastructure that will cover 1,371 miles of the central valley. Funding replaces obsolete circuits at 28 community colleges. There will be another attempt to secure funding for a northern California fiber optic network. Additionally, the Chancellor's office is working on mapping out all of the diverse circuits in the system. The goal is to move away from having one point of failure.
5. CENIC is also looking at possibly providing Voice over IP services and cloud computing services to

community colleges.

6. TTAC continues to work on TECH IV, a strategic plan for technologies within the California Community Colleges.
7. There was a report on the efficacy of ePortfolios that have recently been piloted (several varieties of same) by over 20 colleges; however, there was no offer for free services to all colleges.