

**Committee Reporting Form
CCCCIO Representatives on Statewide Committees**

Committee: Governance and Internal Policy Committee (former Educational Policy Committee)	CCCCIO Rep: Sherrie Guerrero
Meeting Date: August 28, 2012	Meeting Location: Academic Senate - Sacramento

It should be noted that this was the first meeting of the committee. Everything in this report is subject to the approval of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate.

Draft Committee Charge: The charge for this new committee is currently being developed. Initial thoughts on the draft charge include:

- Develop recommendations regarding changes to Board of Governor's/system office policy or the development of new policies as they apply within the California Community College System.
- Research or compile and publish as needed information and recommendations on governance and policy issues at local colleges and districts.
- Coordinate communication with and as appropriate disseminate information to local senates on matters of governance, local policy, and California Community College system policy.
- Develop and disseminate information and tools to local colleges and districts for use in responding to governance and policy issues regarding both local and system-wide matters.

Meeting Agenda Topics:

- Introductions
- Development of proposed committee charge
- Planning discussion of assignments for 2012-2013

- Preparation for fall plenary session (break-out topics and resolutions)
- Future meeting dates
- Other

Topics for CCCCIO consideration/discussion:

Tentative Work Plan

Immediate Action:

- A. F11 19.05 (Faculty Evaluation Processes): The committee agreed that it would develop a survey and use the input from the survey to revise the previous paper in this topic. The survey should go to faculty leaders, evaluation committee members, and CIOs. A question was raised as to whether anything in Education Code or title 5 could override contract language regarding faculty dismissal. Another question involved the possibility of training evaluators more fully.

- B. F10 13.06 (Faculty Definition of Student Success): The committee agreed that David will send out a request to senate presidents for examples and stories of student success and any local definitions developed by their senates. Special focus will be placed on definitions that fall through the cracks of the CCC's three-pronged mission. Donovan will also attempt to collect examples and definitions of student success from students through the SSCCC. Sherrie and Kale will both investigate the research tools and methods that they might make available for this process. The committee will collect this data and use it to present a breakout at the Fall 2012 Plenary session, which will then be followed by a Rostrum article the features and explains the definition.

- C. S12 13.03 (GE and AI Certification on Transcripts): David will ask the Student Learning and Support Committee to collaborate with the GIP on a Rostrum article.

D. S12 13.07 (Evaluating Academic Administrators): The committee agreed to conduct a survey of local hiring practices. It will then conduct a breakout at the Fall Plenary Session that may lead to a *Rostrum* article and possible policy recommendations. The focus of the survey and breakout will be positive and will attempt to gather information on positive hiring practices, what is working, and how we can attract good candidates for academic administrative positions.

E. S12 19.02 (Academic Freedom and Electronic Communication): The committee agreed that the 1999 Paper should be updated but should be expanded to address not just privacy but also changing definitions of academic freedom. The committee will begin researching for this purpose. Regarding the second resolved, the committee questioned whether it is qualified to develop a legal position on the issue. David will investigate whether the ASCCC has access to a legal opinion before any action on the second resolved clause is taken.

F. S12 12.01 (Admissions Priorities Regarding Out-of state and International Students): The committee will, as directed by the resolution, develop a survey and a *Rostrum* article on this topic.

Possible Later Action:

A. S11 9.02 (Support and Retention of Intercollegiate Athletics Programs): The committee believes that this resolution has been completed, but David will check with Beth Smith to be certain.

B. F1 19.06 (Student Equity for eTranscripts): The committee suggested that the ASCCC President might send a message to cover the first part of this resolution. David will check on the status of the funds mentioned in the final resolved clause.

C. F11 1.02 (Timely Process for Responding to Urgent Issues): A breakout on this topic was held at the Spring 2012 Plenary session. David will contact Julie Bruno and Dan Crump for the results of that discussion.

On Indefinite Hold:

- A. F07 4.02 (Concurrent Enrollment for Secondary Students): The committee agreed with the previous year's committee assessment that this resolution is a low priority until budget and funding issues are resolved.
- B. F10 7.03 (SB 1440 Long-Term Impact): The committee agreed that this resolution is currently unfeasible because the degrees created under SB 1440 have not yet been in place long enough.
- C. S12 13.05 (Evaluating Current District Governance Structures): For reasons of workload and appropriateness, the committee deemed this resolution a low priority.
- D. S12 19.01 and 19.02 (Non-Credit Progress Indicators): These resolutions are on hold pending consultation with the Non-Credit Task Force.
- E. S12 20.02 (BOG Fee Waiver and Academic Progress): The committee believes this resolution to be moot in light of the current legislation regarding the BOG Fee Waiver.