

AGENDA  
Consultation Council  
Thursday, November 18, 2010  
Pasadena Convention Center  
Conference Center  
Room 208  
9:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.  
300 E. Green Street  
Pasadena, CA 91101

1. October 21, 2010 Meeting [Summary](#) was approved.

Two new agenda items added.

First New Item: Jane Patton makes a request to add a [December meeting](#) for the Title V pre-requisite language. She would like to bring final language to Consultation Council before first reading of the BOG in January. Thursday the 16<sup>th</sup> at 9 am via CCCConfer is suggested. Decision that this additional meeting will take place.

Second New Item: [SB 1440 update](#). Jane Patton reports that two webinars have been held, and there are plans to do more. Have 11 disciplines in process, developing curriculum templates. 6 are being vetted with 3 – 4 more to be ready before holiday break. We are getting hundreds of faculty from both CCC and CSU going on c-id.net and providing feedback. Oversight committee has had its first meeting. Erik Skinner chairs and said that they are working on solutions for impaction. Optimistic and very productive first meeting. Next Monday all day meeting scheduled with faculty at CSU...it is going well. There is tremendous buy-in from the CSU senate and administrative leadership. Dec 10 is the next oversight committee meeting in LA hosted by CSU.

Jack Scott is highly optimistic and positive about this due to the transfer ease this will allow, but concedes that implementation is the toughest thing. He states that he is very impressed by the work of the Academic Senate.

## 2. [State Budget Update](#)

Erik Skinner reports. LAO identified [\\$25.4 billion shortfall over the next two years](#). LAO is recommending a multiple year solution with temporary and on-going remedies. Special session called for Dec 6 by Schwarzenegger to address the current year \$6.1 billion shortfall. The \$126 million growth, deferred to next year, is a likely candidate to be cut. Or there is the possibility of leaving the growth, but cutting the \$129 million on the other side out of the base. Or are there deeper cuts ahead? \$230 million cut anticipated with the Prop 98 \$2 billion cut next year. Do we need to enact a workload reduction that cuts lower priority FTES? Need to start thinking of ways to address this, discussions of possible actions we would have never considered heretofore.

What effect on the local campuses? Excess demand, lower levels of student services, students' expectations of support and ability to move along will be severely hindered.

Do we see with Governor Brown the development of a new tax package? John Hendrickson is hoping we will have new taxes.

Jack states that [colleges will continue to cut classes](#). In 2009 – 2010 we educated 200,000 students with no remuneration. Therefore, cuts will result in further class section cuts. Jack gives example of yoga classes at night..."maybe you need to go to a health club instead...but we MUST cut out everything but the absolute MAIN thing... [NO recreational courses](#). The legislature will come in and say if you refuse to cut then we will simply not fund these types of courses (recreational)...so maybe we should just let the legislature tell us what to do." We must educate the trustees and the public that there are priorities in what students to serve first.

If we are not proactive, the legislature will force us...and Erik asks if that is the easiest approach, but once they start doing this, where and when do they stop?

The legislature has stated that we must not cut CTE, BSI, and transfer.

[Title V changes for center and college funding](#) will be going to BOG for first read in January.

[Parcel tax revenues in relation to the FON](#): Exclude faculty that are paid for with parcel tax revenues (since this source of revenue is not on-going). This is also going to the BOG in January for a first read. This will be on the Consultation Council agenda in December.

3. [Government Relations Update](#): Marlene Garcia reports. Results of recent elections and impact on what we may want to do legislatively. Obviously, the biggest change is that of the governor.

Be sure to distribute and ask all CIOs to identify WHO their legislators are in both the assembly and the senate. Very "fluid" and uncertain right now with the new legislators and Prop 26 (the fees law). We don't know what the impact this has on student fees. There is greater receptivity now to look at fee increases.

We need to continue to provide data on the impact of the budget cuts, but they are strong on PRIORITIES. (both Dem and Rep staffers are requesting this) They want a clear priority list. They also want to hear what we CAN do and not what we can't do.

[Common assessment](#) is still looking like a good choice for legislation. [E-transcripts](#) sound good (we already discussed this)...see the previous Consultation Council notes about why the CSSOs do not agree that we should be legislating this. [Property tax backfill](#) – a proposal not likely to be funding but an opportunity to discuss the issue and keep the impact of this on legislators' minds.

Advocacy will continue to be a significant part of what the Chancellor's Office will be doing. Intersegmental advocacy will also be continued. April 5, 2011 will be the next intersegmental date for an event with CSU and UC.

Valerie makes the federal report. Orientation week in DC with lots of new rep faces....No Child Left Behind is anticipated to be renewed. AACC will be supporting increases in Pell grants. Senator Henry Reid has stated that he will put the Dream Act out in the lame duck session as a stand alone, but if that doesn't work, it may be folded into a bill with immigration. Gainful employment (as affects financial aid) and for-profit education reforms will be battles between the parties. Republican leadership will oppose this. Earmarks: the president has stated he wants to limit earmarks. Recommendation that we all start looking for grants. Competition for grants will skyrocket.

#### 4. Proposed Revisions to title 5: **Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Regulations (Digest)**

One area that the Senate is concerned with: recruitment and appointment of **interims** should not exceed two years. A concern about enforcement citing an example of an interim CIO that has been employed for six years. If two years, then ok, but it must be a **HARD two years**. There are some questions about enforcement and accountability.

This will proceed with consensus approval from CC to the BOG.

#### 5. **2020 Vision for Student Success Report (Digest) (Facts Sheet) (Recommendations)**

The goal is to **increase completions by 1 million by 2020**. Goal =will eliminate the achievement gap and will close the participation gap = **SUCCESS, EQUITY, ACCESS**.

Look at indicators and tipping points (in the first six units need to pass a math class, once 30 units are completed they are tipped to graduate)...accelerate programs.

**Make orientation, assessment, counseling mandatory** as well as registration deadlines. This is treating students the same way you would with a law school/med school student.

The commission wanted to be bold but practical. Funding is insufficient. Moderate, predictable enrollment fees. Align BOG waiver requirements with financial aid. Additive, categorical incentive funding program (tied to momentum points).

We need to encourage local boards to adopt and participate in workshops that highlight best practices already in place that support the report.

There are **questions of the data, about why the focus on full-time students, and to argue against the fee increase and tying BOG waivers to financial aid completion requirements**.

The SB 1143 effort can be supported by the work the Commission has done.

#### 6. Student Senate Report

General assembly held earlier in November. Gearing up for hands across America and March in March (Mar 14).

7. Other: none to report at this time.