

Consultation Council Notes
February 16, 2012

Pre-Consultation Council Meeting: General feeling is that we are not opposed to most parts of the SSTF, but they will all take more resources, including personnel. League has a concern about the number of colleges put on warning or worse by ACCJC in January.

Consultation Council: Accreditation added to agenda by League.

1. Previous meeting summary approved
2. Student report: Support changes to BOG waivers in conversation, but won't have official position until March. March 5 for March in March.
3. Budget Update: Dan Troy reported that the fee revenue shortfall is larger than predicted . \$179 million shortfall at P-1, including the expected \$30 million based on deferring tuition increase until Summer 2012. Represents a 3.3% deficit. Unclear why shortfall occurred – increase in fees, increase in BOGs, drop in enrollment, etc.
4. BOG Waiver Changes: CC had chance to ask further questions. Students support them because helps sustain system for those who need it most. CC members expressed difficulty handling the changes quickly, with little warning. Linda Michalowski regretted the timing.
5. Implementing SSTF: CCCT and CCCCEO both approved student success scorecard. Rough draft at this point, hoping to implement in 2012. Will not include peer group comparisons – will focus on comparing colleges to themselves. Other SSTF pieces will take years to implement and CCCO will rely on existing workgroups or augment them. Some are being forwarded in bill form as Student Success Act of 2012. Bill is due on 2/24, but will continue to be vetted. No chance CCCs will support substantial changes. Chancellor Scott called any change to 50% law highly unlikely, but stated that we will not have to implement SSTF if get no resources. Linda M. walked through the bill language, which was already reviewed by FA and Matriculation Committees. Some suggested changes are to add “basic skills” as a fundamental service to students. Much discussion about requiring “program of study,” which could leave out students who are at college just to upgrade skills or learn English. Language will be reviewed. Some CC reps were also concerned about setting up more hurdles to getting BOG (like SEPs), but Scott was adamant about not letting students take seats when they have been in the system a long time. CC members expressed concern about the personnel needed for an appeal system for BOGs; criteria will be added to regulations. For Recommendation 1.1, collaboration with K-12, will use existing groups and create one new one. Need to have input into HS standards, NOT change ours. Liu bill will put forward changes to system office. Finally,

- 7.3, student success scorecard, will just “reinvent ARCC” using 7 or 8 metrics agreed on by a working group (I asked the CIOs be included).
6. Funding SSTF Recommendations: Concern was expressed about lack of concrete estimate of costs. Skinner said the Budget Workgroup will look at costs over the summer. Scott repeated that some things will cost nothing and all depends on tax initiative anyway. Members repeated that almost everything does have costs. Scott replied that scorecard, for example, will be done by state and just replace ARCC. He recommended phasing in and determining priorities locally.
 7. Government Relations Update – No discussion, will be emailed.
 8. Oregon Student Exchange – No discussion, state is working on it.
 9. Online Materials Fees : Several groups have met. “Instructional” defined as anything instructor requires that’s not purely administrative. Districts should have local policies on materials fees. Barry handed out proposed regs. Company rep stated they will need some time to be able to comply.
 10. Jobs and Economy: PPT handed out, not discussed.
 11. Accreditation: Concern from some members about 37 of 44 CCCs in trouble on last report. Also concern about change to Standard 3D, which could create fiscal hardship and perceived “public humiliation technique,” which can often create panic among students. Leaving ACCJC was mentioned since other commissions work with colleges before issuing sanctions. Scott showed little inclination to try again with ACCJC, stating that “we broke our pick” on this issue in the past.

Adjourn.