

Committee Reporting Form

CCCCIO Representatives on Statewide Committees

Committee: California Community College Association of Athletics	CCCCIO Rep: Tim McGrath
Meeting Date: 2013 Fall Conference – October 29 – November 1, 2013	Meeting Location: Double Tree by Hilton, Sacramento

Committee Charge:

The Commission on Athletics has been developed to promote and ensure academic progress, amateurism, ethical conduct, and equitable competition for student athletes. Institutional self compliance is essential to the achievement of the educational goals of the intercollegiate athletics programs.

Meeting Agenda Topics:

Title IX Issues

3rd Year eligibility for Community College Athletes

Student Success and Athletic Eligibly

Cost Containment Legislation

175/350 Rule - Apportionment Issue

Topics for CCCCCIO consideration/discussion:

1. Title IX Coordinator – CCCAA recommends that each campus convene a Gender Equity Committee to review the College's Title IX report and to discuss and review campus Title IX issues. In addition each campus should appoint a Title IX Coordinator to ensure compliance with State and Federal Title IX Guidelines. My recommendation is that Vice President of Instruction/Academic Affairs should be the Coordinator to ensure institutional compliance.
2. Third Year Eligibility – CCCAA is considering legislation that would allow an athlete, under

certain situations, to have a 3rd year of Community College eligibility to ensure academic progress and higher success rates leading to graduation and transfer.

3. Student Success and Athletic Eligibly – CCCAA is considering legislation that will increase the number of units that a second year athlete must successfully complete from 24 to 27 units. In addition, in order to be athletically eligible a second semester athlete must have successfully completed 50% of the units that they attempted the previous semester with a GPA of 2.0.
4. Cost Containment Issues – CCCAA is reviewing the cost containment measures that were put in place during the 2008 economic downturn. These issues include limiting the number of contests in sports, reducing the number of teams that qualify for postseason competition, reducing game officials.
5. 175/350 Rule – Apportionment

This is an issue that will impact all of our colleges as it relates to course repetition for athletes and how much apportionment can be collected. I've included more detail that normal as this section pertains to athletics but will impact all of our business offices as well.

Credit Course Repetition Guidelines - Page 12 – 14 - Credit Course Repetition Guidelines

<http://extranet.cccco.edu/Portals/1/AA/Credit/2013Files/CreditCourseRepetitionGuidelinesFinal.pdf>

Section 58162 specifies that a district may claim apportionment for the attendance of students in intercollegiate athletic courses of no more than 350 contact hours per enrolled student for each sport each fiscal year. A fiscal year starts July 1 and ends the following June 30. (§ 58003.4.) Of the 350 contact hours per fiscal year, a district may claim no more than 175 contact hours per fiscal year in intercollegiate athletic courses dedicated to the sport and no more than 175 contact hours per fiscal year in intercollegiate courses that focus on conditioning or skill development for the sport. Thus, for example, if a student enrolls multiple times in an intercollegiate athletic course dedicated to the sport and reaches 175 contact hours in less than four semester or six quarter enrollments in a given fiscal year, then, notwithstanding section 58161(d), the maximum number of enrollments that a district may claim apportionment for in the intercollegiate athletic course dedicated to the sport during that fiscal year is the number through which the student reached the 175 hour limit. Put another way, if each enrollment in the intercollegiate athletic course dedicated to the sport nets 50 student contact hours, then the maximum number of enrollments a district could receive apportionment for, would be three semester or five quarter enrollments, as the fourth semester or sixth quarter enrollment would exceed the 175 hour limit. Districts must institute controls to truncate contact hours to allowable amounts. This limitation on class hours for apportionment purposes has no bearing on the total number of hours that may be required of a student in a given sport.

The regulations do not restrict how districts may distribute the 175 contact hours in intercollegiate courses that focus on conditioning or skill development for the sport for an eligible student throughout the fiscal year, whether in the same semester as the sport, in a different semester, or in summer, so long as the student does not exceed 175 total hours

during the entire fiscal year. However, districts should consult the Bylaws and other rules adopted by the California Community College Athletic Association which further restrict how these hours may be distributed. (See Bylaw 3.19, www.cccaasports.org/constitution.asp.)