

AGENDA & NOTES
Consultation Council
Thursday, June 16, 2011
Chancellor's Office, Rm 3A and B
9:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.
1102 Q Street, 3rd Floor
Sacramento, CA 95811

1. **May 19, 2011 meeting summary** was approved.

2. **State Budget Update** – Dan Troy reports. There is a majority vote budget passed by the legislature as of yesterday. However, a lot of “gimmicks,” i.e., deferrals are in the budget and Governor Brown has stated previously that he will veto any budget that attempts to balance the budget by “kicking the can further down the road.” The budget is now on Governor’s desk with a press conference scheduled for 12:30. The Controller may determine that the budget is not balanced. If this budget holds, it is basically the same as March proposal.

A June 16 budget update (hard copy) is distributed with an update coming electronically to the field once the Governor takes a decision.

5.7 – 5.8% workload reduction is anticipated. With this expectation, the question to address is how to implement the workload reduction for 11/12 – and in particular, what will be the impact on small districts that do not have certain scale advantages of larger districts (see agenda item 4 below).

News Flash! At 10:35 am today BROWN VETOES BUDGET – awaiting decision from John Chaing, Controller, as to whether or not legislators will have pay suspended.

3. **2012–13 Budget Planning Update** – need to present annual request. There is discussion that success might be more important than access. We may want to set aside some funds for the SB 1143 task force issues. Non-credit funding, distance ed funding, veterans, and unemployed training, are all ideas that have been discussed as potential funding request items. Any further ideas should be communicated to the budget planning group. The 12/13 budget request will be presented to the BOG in July.

4. **Small College District Workload Exemption** – (see the DIGEST) this is a means of implementation to lessen the impact on small districts (\$4.5M approximately that will be distributed among the other districts). Strong support from the CBO group.

5. **Government Relations Update** – Mike McGee reports. Please see attachments! There are a LOT of different bills. Highlights of the discussion follow.

Quite a number of education-related bills have moved rapidly through the legislative process. The Chancellor's Office team is working actively rework/rewrite bills that have errors, or other issues that would create negative, but unintended, consequences.

For example, AB 620 (Block – Nondiscrimination Sexual Orientation/Gender Identity) has a high price tag but it is moving forward, despite errors in delegation of authority (federal vs state). AB 1137 (Perez – Econ Dev) does not state actually state that it applies to community colleges, but the language might prevent our centers of international trade to apply for SBA grants.

Other bills mentioned:

SB 8 (Yee – Public Records) may now include CCCs auxiliary organizations (donor anonymity has now been written into the bill).

SB 238 (De Leon – athletes protection) – CCCCCO is supporting this.

AB 372 (Hernandez – prior learning for vets) has large cost but has been amended. GI bill benefits should pay for this.

AB 1029 (Lara – stand alone course approval) is on consent agenda in Senate Ed committee, moving quickly no issues expected.

AB 743 (Block – common assessment) is moving to Senate Ed committee next week.

AB 1056 (Fong – eTranscripts) has moved out of assembly, going to Senate, no date set yet.

AB 515 (Brownley – extended ed) Senate staff for Senate Ed committee has it now. The CCCO does not know where the committee chair is at on the bill. This bill continues to be of interest with a lot of activity (changes in the bills wording). There seems to be a push to have this be a pilot for just two districts.

SB 760 (Alquist – CalGrants) Concerns reporting requirements and is supposed to target private, however it will be difficult not have public's effected by this bill. CCCC is working with them and hoping regulations will address our concerns.

SB 292 (Padilla) This is follow-up legislation on SB 1440 intended to keep the SB 1440 moving. Forcing CSUs to grant priority enrollment to AA-T graduates over all other community college transfers, with the exception of those students covered by transfer agreement entered into prior to the fall 12/13 term.

AB 852 (Fong – Temp Faculty priority) This allows part-time faculty a right of first refusal for teaching assignments.

AB 383 (Portantino – overload limit) Limits overload (no more than 50%). Held in committee, therefore a two-year bill.

Considerable discussion concerning AB 852 and 383. Both seek to provide more employment opportunities for part-time faculty, but both seem to imply that local collective bargaining is not sufficient to address these concerns.

6. Course Repetition and Withdrawals – see new stat sheet attached. Presented by Erik Skinner with Sonia Ortiz. Limits repetition of courses with funding from 4 times to 3 + 1 (1 is a for extenuating circumstances with a local waiver process). This has already had a first reading at BOG. These changes are driven by scarce resources.

SF City College protests the data and the change in Title 5. See the hard copy counterproposal provided. Perhaps the question is the need for intervention rather than allowing students to keep repeating.

Lengthy presentation from SFCC. Basic premise is to not limit repeats/withdrawals as it discriminates those students most in need, particularly basic skills students.

Many counter-statements presented to the SFCC rationale, but there was careful consideration of all ideas/positions presented. Some counter statements include: each level of repetition shows students are increasingly dropping and withdrawing, not completing so we should not fund this at a certain point; at each successive level of repetition the student has greater enrollment priority so they are taking seats away from first-time students; rather than allowing students to repeat more, we should intervene with support services earlier.

7. Student Senate Report – Alex Pader, March 5th is tentative date for March on March. September action planned with CSU students. Standing order changes to the BOG has been divisive, but they do support them. He thanks the council for his year participating.

7. Other – Planning for July and August meetings – no planned meeting for July. Only a phone conference if needed. For August, there is typically an orientation meeting the afternoon prior (approx 3 or 4 pm) with orientation exercises and perhaps a study session. 50% law is a proposed topic for study. Or maybe the role of the Student Success Task Force vis-a-vis the Consultation Council? Or the FON and the resulting inequity? More to come, but at this time, no meeting in July!