

**Committee Reporting Form**  
**CCCCIO Representatives on Statewide Committees**

<b>Committee:</b> Common Assessment Meeting	<b>CCCCIO Rep:</b> Tram Vo-Kumamoto
<b>Meeting Date:</b> 2/7/17	<b>Meeting Location:</b> Embassy Suites, Sacramento

**Committee Charge:**

To provide direction for CCC Common Assessment development, implementation and PD.

**Meeting Agenda Topics:**

- 1) CCCCCO Update:
  - communication regarding CAI work will go out to the community monthly if there are updates
  - role of the CAI Steering Committee vs. Chancellor's office vs. Butte Technology Center, who has the grant award to implement the Common Assessment Initiative
  - it appears the challenge with the approval process during the summer has initiated more ownership from the Chancellor's office and its role in seeing the project through.
  - There are concerns regarding the steering committee's recommendation to have an adaptive, diagnostic assessment tool may not come to fruition because of the approval process but CCCCCO assures that it will work to support its approval
  - CCCCCO will be meeting with the legislators to go over the status of the project this week, will refer to the letter recently sent out on 1/30/17.
  
- 2) A review of the charter was done. Charter changes include name change from steering committee to advisory committee. Also

suggestion to add language to state that CAI committee will provide reports after each meeting to the CCCCCO.

-If the CCCIO group would like to nominate a sub we can. We will need to identify and communicate to the chairs of the CAI committee so that the sub can vote when subbing.

3) Update from the CAI team.

-Still collecting data.

-Using beta version of CCCAssess with the pilot colleges, release Fall 2017

-Timeframe to collect info is more realistic

-There's 10 different projects being managed by the CAI team

-Starting with the 12 pilots. Will take into consideration the needs of multi-college districts to see if the district can implement shortly after the pilot schools.

- RFP for writing sample to go out February 16.

- ESL listening – concern with being able to provide a common experience for all students across colleges/districts. Assessment needs to be done to determine what colleges need first. Will be designed with current content vendor. No separate RFP

- RFP for a vendor to develop pre-assessment prep – 3 separate vendors with focus on English, Math and ESL

4) Student/counselor report – Presentation of the report was provided.

-First page – Placement recommendation, customized by the college,  
-Use highest recommendation? Yes, will specify from which test sitting

-How will the colleges that do not use state-wide common placement mechanism put their model in? maybe hide the placement for these colleges so that they can do the placement through their own system/sis.

Counselor has access to the platform? Single sign on?

\*\*\*A lengthy discussion around the question of – Should students be able to get placement results for other colleges once they complete the assessment or just to the colleges that they have an active cccapply application in. Decision that during the Beta testing with the 12 colleges in the fall, that we will only be providing students placement information for colleges where in which they have an active

application. For long term, the committee would like to provide Chancellors Office with pro/cons about opening up the opportunity for students to see results at colleges that they did not apply to.\*\*\*

The platform will provide a diagnostic for students to see where they fall within the range. Sample provides visual as well as verbal info.

**Topics for CCCCIO consideration/discussion:**

**Sub/Alternate for CIO rep:** have we communicated Joanna Schilling as sub for me?

**Potential CIO rep to sit on RFP review for writing sample vendor.** Not clear if we will be provided with an opportunity to have a rep.

**Our thoughts on providing students with placement results at other schools outside of the one(s) they applied to.**

While we have 1 seat on this advisory committee, the ability for the rep to gather information and represent is limited given that there is no time built in to get CIO feedback.

Would like to get thoughts on how to garner feedback.